Appendix 1 – Table of consultation responses

Response	Date	Comment	Respondent name and method of response	Nature of response
1	18/11/2016	Where are the cottages 2 and 3 Little Common that are proposed to be included in the conservation area?	Lauren de Vere - email	Neutral
2	13/12/2017	I am interested in obtaining a map of the proposed extension to Waxwell Lane Conservation Area as advertised in the Harrow Times dated 24-11-16. It advises to refer to a cabinet report online but I have checked and can't find it. Please can you forward this to me?	Tracy Stopford - email	Neutral
3	30/11/2016	As an owner of one of the houses in the proposed extension of the Waxwell Lane Conservation Area, I think the increased protection from inappropriate development is very important. I am in favour of this amendment. Please get rid of the thick yellow lines outside my house!!!	Geoffrey Hamlyn at 60 Waxwell Lane - email	Support
4	16/12/2016	Pinner Local History Society has considered the proposals for extending the conservation area and for locally listing Pinner Post Office and is in full agreement with them.	Pat Clarke on behalf of the Pinner Local History Society - email	Support

Response	Date	Comment	Respondent name and method of response	Nature of response
5	12/12/2016	The Pinner Association strongly supports the extension of the Waxwell Lane Conservation Area to include: • 60-66 (even) Waxwell Lane • 2 and 3 Little Common • The Post Office Bridge Street • All of the Little Common open space We note that 64 Waxwell Lane has recently been subject to a planning application a part of which was for alterations to the frontage. As this one of a symmetrical pair of Edwardian houses with attractive architectural features we feel that it is particularly important to achieve protection from inappropriate development for 60 to 66 Waxwell Lane as soon as possible. We strongly support the additional protection that the Little Common open space would achieve by being fully incorporated into the Waxwell Lane Conservation Area. The Pinner Association strongly supports the Local Listing of the "Post Office" building in Bridge Street. Whilst part of this building is still in use as the Royal Mail sorting office and parcel depot, we are concerned that it may in future be subject to planning applications for changes of use such as conversion to residential apartments. The limited, but still significant, additional consideration that would be applied to any such application, as described in the consultation document, will be important for this iconic and characterful building to remain a feature of central Pinner.	Ruth Boff on behalf of The Pinner Association - email	Support

Response	Date	Comment	Respondent name and method of response	Nature of response
6	16/12/2016	Many thanks for consulting Historic England on the draft Pinner Road Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy, and on the proposed extension of the Waxwell Lane Conservation Area Boundary and the local listing of the Pinner Post Office. Our comments on the draft Pinner Road Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy are attached as a separate letter. If you have any questions about the comments contained in our letter please don't hesitate to contact me. With regard to the proposed extension of the Waxwell Lane Conservation Area Boundary, we welcome the additional assessment that has been undertaken to justify the extensions, but have no further comments to make at this stage. Similarly, we note the proposed local listing of the Pinner Post Office follows an established method for identifying local significance. We welcome the Council's continuing review of the local list and conservation area boundaries which will help ensure that the background evidence that supports your wider planning policies is robust. Once you have designated these heritage assets, we would ask you to send us the relevant GIS files so that we can update the Greater London Historic Environment Record, and our own GIS systems.	David English on behalf of Historic England - email	Support
7	14/11/2016	Will this have any impact on choices of materials in maintaining the highway. Obviously our initial duty is to maintain a safe highway. If you could advise.	Antony Durke on behalf of Harrow Council Highways Team - email	Neutral
8	09/11/2016	In conservation areas, the yellow line restrictions on carriageway are generally 50mm wide and of Primrose colour as against 100mm wide yellow colour in non-conservation areas. Have you considered whether the existing yellow lines should be changed to 50mm Primrose colour if proposed extension is approved?	Tushar Patel Infrastructure Engineer - email	Neutral

Response	Date	Comment	Respondent name and method of response	Nature of response
9		We are the owners of 66 Waxwell Lane and we wholeheartedly are in favour of and support the move to widen the existing conservation areas of Waxwell Lane to encompass the unique properties at 60-66 (including our own). We moved into our property in June this year and are quite astounded by the retention of all original features in the property - internally and externally. The four properties are very high quality and should be preserved for the future. There has been a recent application for No. 64 to be converted into flats, which involved some, in our view, extensive alterations to the front of the property. These alterations would have quite significantly changed the external appearance of the property and would have unbalanced the symmetry of the 4 properties as well as altering the look and feel of the surrounding area in Waxwell Lane. Harrow Planning refused the application thankfully. The documentation and objections received are available for view on the Council's Planning website. The move to widen the conservation area would hopefully prevent applications such as these in the future. It is very important to us that the nature of Waxwell Lane should be preserved. The Lane is unique within the surrounding area and is very rare within the context of the wider metropolitan area. We therefore support any move to protect the look and feel of the Lane for the future. We welcome and support all aspects of the widening of the conservation area. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to comment.	Tony and Grainne Seaford at 66 Waxwell Lane - email	Support
10	21/12/2016	We are the owner occupiers of 62 Waxwell Lane, Pinner, and write to register our support for the proposal to extend the above mentioned conservation area boundary. Kindly acknowledge safe receipt of this e-mail. Kindly also advise of the outcome of the consultation exercise, and the subsequent decision of the Council, in due course.	Mr R and Mrs P Prince at 62 Waxwell Lane by email	Support

Response	Date	Comment	Respondent name and method of response	Nature of response
11	25/11/2017	Your proposal seems to lock the stable door after the horse has bolted. While I agree that, until quite recently, Little Common and the surrounding buildings had 'a distinct physical identity and cohesiveness', recent decisions by Harrow Council Planning Services have allowed this to be undermined. The newly extended large slate roofed accountant's building at 8a Elm Park Road is only 1 metre from Little Common. Your support for the objections of the Waxwell Lane Conservation Area residents would have been welcomed. The gross intrusion into the privacy of Waxwell Lane (particularly No. 21) is appalling. Also the recently approved plans for the garages at the back of North End Lodge are another example of encroachment into the ambiance of the Conservation Area. The question of development on the Waxwell Lane Car Park is another detrimental example, which will further damage the character and residential amenity of this part of Pinner.	Mrs E Hill at 21 Waxwell Lane by letter	Concerns